CORRESPONDENCE VIA EMAIL WITH SCOTTISH BORDERS PLANNING DEPT

Timeline of correspondence

Date	Descrip e on
14/02/2023	Acknowledgement leΣ er for applicaOn 23/00225/FUL
14/02/2023	Acknowledgement leΣ er for applicaOn 23/00140/LBC
03/04/2023	Response from Ranald Dods and Sanne Roberts (HDO) on
	proposed planning
05/04/2023	Response to Sanne Roberts (HDO) re consulta@on response
07/04/2023	Response from Sanne Roberts (HDO) re further info provided
07/04/2023	Response to Sanne Roberts (HDO) re email 7/4/23
07/04/2023	Further info to Sanne Roberts (HDO) re email 7/4/23
12/04/2023	Response from Sanne Roberts (HDO) re further info provided
24/04/2023	Email to Sanne Roberts (HDO) to check progress
24/04/2023	Response from Ranald Dods re email to check progress
24/04/2023	Response to Ranald Dods re email 24/04/2023
17/05/2023	Email to Ranald Dods to check progress
25/05/2023	Response from Ranald Dods requeseng more informaeon
26/05/2023	Response to Ranald Dods requeseng more information on what
20/03/2023	is acceptable re windows
26/05/2023	Response from Ranald Dods with window guidance and note that
20/03/2023	uPVC may be acceptable in some instances
06/06/2023	Response to Ranald Dods confirming addieonal info added to
	portal
16/06/2023	Response to Ranald Dods confirming addieonal info added to
	portal
16/06/2023	Response from Ranald Dods confirming need to add all
10/00/2023	documents to both FUL and LBC applicaeons
20/07/2023	Email to Ranald Dods to check progress
21/07/2023	Response from Ranald Dods re latest addieonal informaeon
21/01/2023	provided
27/07/2023	Response from architect related to correspondence not available
21/01/2023	on the portal (Soured a local architects firm to provide drawings
	requested (D H Farmer, Peebles))
02/10/2023	Email to Ranald Dods confirming all addieonal informaeon added
02/10/2023	to portal
11/10/2023	Email to Ranald Dods to request update
17/10/2023	Response from Ranald Dods a Response from Ra
20/10/2023	Response to Ranald Dods re. email 17/10/23
28/11/2023	Enquiry to SBC re decision Omescales
28/11/2023	Response from Ranald Dods a\(\tilde{O}\)er request for update
28/11/2023	Response to Ranald Dods a\(\tilde{O}\)er request for update
28/11/2023	Response from Ranald Dods a\(\bar{O}\)er request for update
28/11/2023	Response to Ranald Dods a\(\tilde{O}\)er request for update
28/11/2023	Response from Ranald Dods a\(\tilde{O}\)er request for update
29/11/2023	Enquiry to SBC re decision Omescales
29/11/2023	Response from Ranald Dods a\(\tilde{O}\)er request for update
5/12/2023	Response from Barry Fotheringham re complaint raised to get a planning decision logged to allow us to appeal
5/12/2023	Response to Barry Fotheringham re complaint response
- · · - · - · - · - ·	

6/12/2023	Response from Barry Fotheringham re complaint response
8/12/2023	Confirma@on of planning decision to reject uPVC replacement
	windows

Copy of Correspondence sorted by earliest to latest date:

From: Dods, Ranald < Ranald.Dods@scotborders.gov.uk>

Date: Mon, 3 Apr 2023, 11:37

Subject: [OFFICIAL] 23/00140/LBC & 23/00225/FUL, Middle House, Kingsmuir Hall

To

Dear Miss Harrison

You will doubtless have seen the consulta@on response from our Heritage and Design Officer (HDO) in the online file (23/00140/LBC). In that she states:

"It would be most appropriate for solar panels to be $fi\Sigma$ ed in a more discreet loca Θ on, for example the south roof slope to the rear (two storey) sec Θ on of Middle House as this would be a much less visible loca Θ on. Any accepted panels should be black framed and glare should be minimised, to reduce their impact further.

"It is proposed to relocate the boiler to within a window recess. It is unclear from the descrip@on how the window would be finished. There is concern this would result in a non-tradi@onal appearance which would detract from the listed building.

"The historic sash and case windows to this property contribute to its character and special interest. In accordance with policy in the SPG, repair of windows on a like for like basis is preferred. This can include refurbishment and draughtproofing of the windows which can be very effective. Replacement with the mber windows to match the existing on a like for like basis would be supported, and can include double glazed units. There are no specific and justified circumstances that would suggest uPVC should be accepted in this case".

I do not disagree with that assessment. Before we can support the applica@ons, we will need some further informa@on, that will include the details set out in the HDO's response. You should refer to

our supplementary planning guidance on "Replacement Windows and Doors", which is available on our website. We will also need exisong and proposed elevaons (rather than just a roof plan contained within another document) to show the impact of the solar panels. I should say that I found the plans somewhat confusing and those could be set out a lot more clearly. Notwithstanding the HDO's comments, I note that you have supplied a window brochure but that does not detail which window it is proposed to install. In addion, to make a proper assessment, we would need to have an idea of the dimensions of the windows (including astragals) which it is proposed to replace. Ideally that should be set out on a drawing.

Please arrange for the addional informaon to be uploaded via the portal by the 11th of April. If you require addional ome to do that, please let me know

Yours sincerely,

Ranald Dods

Planning Officer

Development Management

Planning Housing and Related Services

Scottish Borders Council

Tel: 01835 825 239

E-mail: ranald.dods@scotborders.gov.uk

From: Julie Harrison

Sent: 05 April 2023 06:37

To: Roberts, Sanne <Sanne.Roberts@scotborders.gov.uk>

Cc: Adrian McCarthy Dods, Ranald < <u>Ranald.Dods@scotborders.gov.uk</u> >

Subject: Re: [OFFICIAL] 23/00140/LBC & 23/00225/FUL, Middle House, Kingsmuir Hall

CAUTION: External Email

Dear Ms Roberts

I'm wri@ng in response to your comments that Ranald Dods has forwarded on and to provide more informa@on as requested.

Solar panels

In terms of the locaeon, the south slope of the rear extension would only fit 4 solar panels as there is an adjoining roof that connects the front and rear aspects of the building. We invesegated this open when get ng 3 quotes through (as advised by Home Energy Scotland). Installing only that number of panels is not viable in terms of cost versus kW output. We'd be happy to provide details

of the 3 firms should you wish to verify that independently. In terms of visibility to others Middle House is not visible from Bonnington Road and the front aspect is only seen by the 4 neighbours who have access to the front lane. We are happy to adhere to the requirements for black frame and glare reduceon should our applicaeon be approved.

Boiler reloca0on

We are no longer planning to relocate the boiler to a window recess and it will remain close to current loca@on but moved within a kitchen cupboard to accommodate removal of the wall. Please let us know if you need further informa@on on that aspect.

Windows

When we received the home report for our new home the windows were shown as Category 1 by Allied Surveyors (ie: No immediate acon or repair is needed.). This is the extract from the survey (please let me know if you would like a copy of the full survey).

"Windows, external doors and joinery

Repair category: 1

Notes: Missing ironmongery was noted to some of the windows."

Given the survey informa Θ on we didn't expect to need windows immediately but having just endured our first winter we've realised that the 3 rear bedroom windows let in rain and we've had a large build up of ice, plus the windows ra Σ le in their frames. I have pictures of the ice inside the windows, one of which I've a Σ ached. We've also been paying approx £450 per month in energy costs. We certainly can't afford to replace/ repair all of the windows at once, but we do need to deal with the bedroom windows. We've also discovered that as the EPC cer Θ ficate makes no men Θ on of the windows as an improvement we have no access to a loan via Energy savings trust so will have to find funds ourselves for this unexpected expense.

In terms of choosing uPVC over Omber, this is preferred mainly due to cost and thermal efficiency, but I've shared a link here on benefits of choosing uPVC:

 $\frac{h\Sigma\,ps://www.sashwindowsuk.com/blog/5-differences-between-wood-effect-upvc-windows-and-omber-between-wood-effect-upvc-windows-wood-effect-upvc-windows-wood-effect-upvc-windows-wood-effect-upvc-windows-wood-effect-upvc-windows-wood-effect$

 $\underline{windows\#:} \sim : text = Leading\%20on\%20 from\%20 point\%20 number, the\%20 most\%20 cost\%2D effec \\\Theta ve\%2 \\\underline{0op\Thetaon}.$

We're keen to have windows appear in keeping with the exterior look and do our bit to reduce emissions from our home by doing what we can and would be happy to align to that.

Overall we're also keen to help to achieve Scotland's net zero ambi\theta ons by doing what we can within our new home which is sympathe\theta to the heritage but allows the building to survive into the future.

Please do let us know if you could visit our home or the lane outside and we'll be happy to meet with you to demonstrate any of the above informa@on.

Many thanks

Julie Harrison

On Fri, 7 Apr 2023, 11:04 Roberts, Sanne, < <u>Sanne.Roberts@scotborders.gov.uk</u>> wrote:

Dear Julie Harrison.

Thank you for your email. Unfortunately I am unable to visit your property, but can make the following comments on the addional informaon provided in your email.

Solar Panels: It is useful to understand what alternaeves have been invesegated for the solar panels. Can the number of panels on the front roof slope be reduced to one row (below the rooflights) with a further four placed on the south slope of the rear extension? This would provide a similar output whilst reducing the impact on the listed building.

Boiler: Please provide an annotated photograph showing the proposed loca@on of the boiler. The plans should also be updated to show the revised proposal.

Windows: As per the council's policy and Historic Environment Scotland's Managing Change Guidance, I am sell unable to support upvc windows as a replacement to historic ember sash and case windows. Well-maintained ember windows should last much longer than upvc replacements, which typically have a lifespan of 15-20 years at which point they require replacement with the old frames having to go to landfill. Historic ember windows were generally of good quality ember and many can be repaired even when they seem in very poor condieon. An independent joiner should be able to advise on this. Slimline double glazed units and/or secondary glazing could be considered alongside repair, or phased replacement in ember could be considered.

Please ensure Ranald Dods is kept in copy to any correspondence or further informaθon, as he is case officer for your applicaθon and will make the final decision based on assessment of the case and all consultaθon responses. Any revised plans or new informaθon should be submiΣ ed to the portal directly.

Kind regards,

Sanne

Sanne Roberts, Heritage and Design Officer

On Fri, 7 Apr 2023, 13:03 Julie Harrison wrote:

Many thanks Ms Roberts for your prompt response.

SOLAR PANELS:

We did discuss alterna@ve placement of solar panels with the 3 firms who quoted and they all considered that placement u@lising the front south facing roof was the best op@on with poten@al to add 4 more on the rear south facing sec@on, although that significantly increased the cost of installa@on due to the addi@onal scaffolding and @me taken. We also took extensive advice from home energy Scotland on other renewables and were advised that other op@ons weren't viable for our property.

Could you advise us if the current request would be acceptable?

WINDOWS:

In terms of the windows we'll need to alter our planning applica Θ on to maximise the benefit of replacement if we're unable to keep costs down with cheaper methods. Would it be possible to replace the two rear facing bedroom windows and one west facing bedroom window with uPVC or will Θ mber replacements be the only acceptable op Θ on? These are beyond repair and need to be replaced. The internal shu Σ ers are not the originals and are also in a poor state of repair.

We'll leave those sash and case windows that already have secondary glazing as they are, which relates to the 2 front facing windows, the west facing downstairs bathroom and staircase window and the upstairs bathroom window

In terms of other windows, there are 3 non tradional ones as follows - would it be acceptable to replace those with uPVC double glazed units that are sympatheoc in style to the current windows in place but allow us to open them!

- laundry window
- side and rear kitchen window

We also have a kitchen door that is non tradioonal - would we be able to replace this with uPVC? This door is to the rear of the property and provides access from the porch.

In terms of uPVC ending up in landfill we were quoted based on uPVC windows made from recycled uPVC, which is something that is increasing and wanted to seck with that as our source material from the supplier.

Please let us know your thoughts on that basis and we can adjust our applica@on.

BOILER:

We'll submit boiler reloca@on change to the portal.

Many thanks, Julie Harrison

From: Julie Harrison

Sent: 07 April 2023 13:13

To: Roberts, Sanne < <u>Sanne.Roberts@scotborders.gov.uk</u>>

Cc: Adrian McCarthy Dods, Ranald < <u>Ranald.Dods@scotborders.gov.uk</u> >

Subject: Re: [OFFICIAL] 23/00140/LBC & 23/00225/FUL, Middle House, Kingsmuir Hall

CAUTION: External Email

Apologies I also meant to share informaon and photos of our winter experience with the bedroom windows. We had to resort to window film and perspex to provide draught proofing which of course leaves us unable to open the windows and allow fresh air in, which is not ideal.

Kind regards, Julie Harrison

On Wed, 12 Apr 2023, 09:54 Roberts, Sanne, < Sanne. Roberts@scotborders.gov.uk > wrote:

Dear Julie,

Thank you for your emails and the addional informaon. I will discuss this with colleagues who ulomately will be the ones to assess and determine the applicaon.

Sanne

Sanne Roberts

Heritage and Design Officer

From: Julie Harrison

Sent: 24 April 2023 10:56

To: Roberts, Sanne < Sanne. Roberts@scotborders.gov.uk >

Cc: Adrian McCarthy Dods, Ranald < <u>Ranald.Dods@scotborders.gov.uk</u> >

Subject: Re: [OFFICIAL] 23/00140/LBC & 23/00225/FUL, Middle House, Kingsmuir Hall

CAUTION: External Email

Dear Sanne

Did you manage to progress with discussions? Happy to provide any more informa@on as required.

Many thanks, Julie Harrison

On Mon, 24 Apr 2023, 11:33 Dods, Ranald, <Ranald.Dods@scotborders.gov.uk> wrote:

Julie.

Sanne has discussed this with me. I soll havaae to make a recommendaon on the proposal but I may be asking for revised drawings. I will revert when I have had a chance to re-examine the applicaons.

Ranald

On Mon, 24 Apr 2023, 12:49 Julie Harrisor

wrote:

Ok, many thanks. Please feel free to call me if easier to answer any queries. My number is

Kind regards, Julie Harrison

From: Julie Harrison

Date: Wed, 17 May 2023, 10:43

Subject: Re: [OFFICIAL] 23/00140/LBC & 23/00225/FUL, Middle House, Kingsmuir Hall

To: Dods, Ranald < <u>Ranald.Dods@scotborders.gov.uk</u>>

Cc: Roberts, Sanne < Sanne.Roberts@scotborders.gov.uk >, Adrian McCarthy

Dear Mr Dods.

Has there been any progress on our planning applica Θ on? The main reason for our urgency is to get at least the bedroom windows ordered and fi Σ ed prior to winter to avoid having the experience we've had over our first winter. Happy to answer any ques Θ ons you might have to help resolve any issues.

Apologies for chasing, I'm aware planning resources are stretched.

Many thanks

Julie Harrison

On Thu, 25 May 2023, 12:06 Dods, Ranald, <Ranald.Dods@scotborders.gov.uk> wrote:

Julie,

Having looked again at the file, I will need a set of revised drawings which show clearly what changes are proposed. The current drawings are confusing.

I find sheet of drawings (the one where you set out in text what the changes are) really confusing. The 2nd page has the plan orientated a different way and has a photograph in the middle. It is also appears to have both exisOng and proposed plans on it. I soll am not clear what

windows you wish to replace and with what, especially in light of your email of the 7th of April. In that, I note that you menoon that you want to replace a door with a uPVC one. That is an element which was not in the original submission and would need to be subject of nooficaon and adverosement.

We soll don't have a roof plan as a separate drawing showing where the solar panels are going to be. That should show the whole building so we can judge the context. We would also need exisong and proposed elevaons, showing the solar panels and the windows which will have work done / be replaced.

Clearly you have put a good deal of Θ me and effort into the applica Θ on but I don't think that we have sufficient informa Θ on and clarity on what is proposed. If you feel that you can't set out the informa Θ on clearly and provide properly scaled drawings showing the exis Θ ng and proposed works, it may be be Σ er to engage a professional who could provide the drawings for you. That is, however, a choice for you but I am regre Σ ably not able to make a recommenda Θ on based on the informa Θ on I have.

Please could you let me know how you wish to proceed? If you want to submit revised and addi@onal drawings, please do so via the portal by the 23rd of June. If you need addi@onal @onal @onal

Ranald

From: Julie Harrison

Sent: 26 May 2023 07:35

To: Dods, Ranald < Ranald.Dods@scotborders.gov.uk>

Cc: Adrian McCarthy Roberts, Sanne

<Sanne.Roberts@scotborders.gov.uk>

Subject: Re: [OFFICIAL] 23/00140/LBC & 23/00225/FUL, Middle House, Kingsmuir Hall

CAUTION: External Email

Dear Mr Dods

Thanks for let ng me know. I'll endeavour to get new documents to you by that date or let you know more Ome is needed.

Can you at least give clarity on whether uPVC double glazed windows that look the same would be acceptable then I can at least provide clear informa\text{\text{\text{o}}} on on our request. I'm finding it quite difficult to be clear with no indica\text{\text{\text{o}}} on from you on what's acceptable. I'd prefer not to waste your \text{\text{\text{\text{o}}} me and ours put ng in an applica\text{\text{\text{o}}} on that you'll reject because of the choice of materials.

Your quick response would be appreciated since we're now over 3 months past the original applica@on date and this request puts us back to the beginning of the process.

Many thanks

On Fri, 26 May 2023, 09:02 Dods, Ranald, <Ranald.Dods@scotborders.gov.uk> wrote:

Thank you.

Our guidance on replacement windows and doors (copy a Σ ached in case you have not already seen that) is clear at page 6. Whilst it is difficult to say defini Θ vely, it \underline{may} be acceptable to introduce uPVC windows into category c Listed buildings.

Ranald

On Tue, 6 Jun 2023, 20:06 Julie Harrison,

wrote:

Dear Mr Dods

Addi@onal suppor@ng documents have now been added via the portal as requested. I've checked documents align to a recently approved applica@on where windows were double glazed uPVC retaining the same sizes and look. I've done the same for the solar panels aligned with previously approved applica@ons.

We originally tried to engage an architect but the Omescales and addiOonal cost led us down this path. Given our desire to move quickly I hope aligning these to what you've previously accepted helps to move things forward.

Many thanks

Julie Harrison

From: Julie Harrison

Sent: 16 June 2023 07:27

To: Dods, Ranald < Ranald. Dods@scotborders.gov.uk >

Cc: Adrian McCarthy

Subject: Re: [OFFICIAL] 23/00140/LBC & 23/00225/FUL, Middle House, Kingsmuir Hall

CAUTION: External Email

Dear Mr Dods

I've also now added the new quota\(\text{\text{0}}\)on from a window supplier which details each window and the kitchen door.

Please let me know the decision on planning applica@on.

Julie Harrison

From: Julie Harrison

Sent: 20 July 2023 08:50

To: Dods, Ranald < Ranald. Dods@scotborders.gov.uk >

Cc: Adrian McCarthy

Subject: 23/00225/FUL and 23/00140/LBC

CAUTION: External Email

Good morning Mr Dods

Can you advise when we can expect a decision on our planning applicaon?

Many thanks, Julie Harrison

On Fri, 21 Jul 2023, 12:26 Dods, Ranald, <Ranald.Dods@scotborders.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear Ms Harrison,

I am afraid we sell are not in a posie on to support your applicae ons. You will see that our Heritage and Deign Officer has concerns about the impact of your proposals on the listed building. Although your property forms a part of that, the building has to be considered as a whole.

Whilst we are sympathe Θ c to your desire to reduce costs and the need to reduce carbon emissions, that has to be balanced with the need to safeguard the historic environment. As you will see from the HDO's latest response to your submission, we can accept, subject to condi Θ ons, the solar panels but the proposed windows and replacement door are items which would have a nega Θ ve effect on the character of the listed building.

We may be able to accept, subject to the submission of appropriate drawings and details, double glazed Θ mber windows. That could be achieved by the installa Θ on of slim profile glazing units into the exis Θ ng frames. The alterna Θ ve would be new Θ mber windows with double glazing (a maximum thickness of 16mm would seem appropriate) installed. A further alterna Θ ve would be the reten Θ on of the exis Θ ng windows and the installa Θ on of internal secondary double glazing. That would not require listed building consent or planning permission. The rear door, which would be clearly visible through the "conservatory", should be a design and material more appropriate to a listed building. We do, however, accept that the exis Θ ng door is of li Σ le historic merit.

As menoned above, we cannot support your applicaons in the current form and I realise that will be disappoinong for you. Rather than refuse those, I suggest the applicaons are revised to show Omber windows with double glazing and giving us full details or, they are withdrawn.

Please let me know as soon as possible which course of acon you wish to take.

Yours sincerely,

Ranald Dods

From

Date: Thu, 27 Jul 2023, 16:10

Subject: Planning ApplicaOon, Middle House, Bonnington Road.

Tol

Dear Mrs Harrison

Further to your enquiry earlier this week, we have now had an opportunity to look on the council planning portal, however the planning officer has not uploaded the e mail requeseng further informaeon to the portal. We would be grateful if you could forward a copy of the request you have had from Ranald detailing the informaeon they require. Once we have had an opportunity to review this we will revert with an offer of service and fee quote.

Kind Regards

David Farmer.

D & H Farmer Chartered Architects

Meldon Design Studio

2 Elcho Street Brae

Peebles

EH45 8HU

Tel. 01721 724247

mail@dhfarmer.co.uk

www.dhfarmer.co.uk

From: Julie Harrison

Date: Mon, 2 Oct 2023, 09:36

Subject: Re: [OFFICIAL] 23/00225/FUL and 23/00140/LBC To: Dods, Ranald < Ranald.Dods@scotborders.gov.uk>

Cc: Adrian McCarthy <mail@dhfarmer.co.uk>

Dear Mr Dods

All requested addional informaon now uploaded to the planning portal.

Regards, Julie Harrison

From: Julie Harrison Date: Wed, 11 Oct 2

Subject: 23/00225/FUL and 23/00140/LBC

To: Dods, Ranald < Ranald. Dods@scotborders.gov.uk >

Dear Mr Dods, can you advise when a decision will be made on our planning applicaeons please.

Many thanks, Julie Harrison

From: Dods, Ranald < Ranald. Dods@scotborders.gov.uk >

Date: Tue, 17 Oct 2023, 12:15

Subject: [OFFICIAL] 23/00140/LBC & 00225/FUL, Middle House, Kingsmuir Hall

To: Julie Harriso

Cc: david@dhfarmer.co.uk <david@dhfarmer.co.uk>

Dear Miss Harrison.

The HDO and I have spent a good deal of Θ me on this one and given it considerable thought, given your property is part of a larger assemblage and will have an impact on the overall appearance of that. Had the circumstances of the property been different, I doubt we would have had as many discussions and been reques Θ ng so much from you. We recognise there have been improvements made to the proposal but there are s Θ II aspects that mean we cannot give the applica Θ ons our full support.

I have copied the HDO's substaneve comments below (in blue) and I do not disagree with those. We would welcome revised drawings which address these comments in order that we can lend full support to the proposals. Included in that is a design for the door within the porch and window details so that we can avoid condieons being imposed.

The main eleva θ on of the principal villa of Kingsmuir Hall faces east, with its second 'garden' eleva θ on facing south. Middle House is formed from part of the service range, set to the rear of the Hall. Middle House nevertheless has well-detailed eleva θ ons, par θ cularly to what now forms its front eleva θ on (south 'garden' eleva θ on) whilst the rear block is well-propor θ oned and reads with the architecture (and window design) of the abu; ng eleva θ on of the main villa. Original windows also survive to the rear block and stairwell, although it is acknowledged that a window and porch have been inserted and further window altered to these eleva θ ons, and to those of the neighbouring co Σ age.

The historic sash and case windows to this property contribute to its character and special interest. It appears from recent sales particulars that internal shutters also survive. In accordance with policy in the SPG, repair of windows on a like for like basis is preferred, although sensitive replacement can be accepted.

Use of timber to the front elevation of the property is appropriate. The proposed elevational drawings indicate that these would have frames to match the existing and slimline double glazed units, which would be an appropriate approach. The submitted details however show standard double glazed units and surface applied astragals, which do not reflect the original nor comply with the council's policy in the relevant SPG. New details should be supplied which reflect the approach detailed on the proposed elevation drawing (up front or by condition).

The rear block is less visible, and has been subject to some alteration/inserted windows. Nevertheless it remains part of the larger ensemble, and the rear elevation has a direct relationship with the north elevation of the main villa. After much consideration of the information presented and SPG policy, there appears limited scope to divert from the design and detailing of the existing window in any proposed replacement. As per previous comments, replacement in timber to match the existing remains appropriate.

The proposed replacement door shows glazing to the top half and a solid bottom section. This is appropriate. No detailed design is shown; a four panelled door may be appropriate. Details of the door could be conditioned.

Please could you upload the revisions to each file via the portal by the 31st of October so that I can reconsult the HDO? If those revisions are acceptable, I would aim to determine the applica@ons by the 14th of November. I have copied in David as he prepared the drawings for you and may be able to offer further assistance.

Yours sincerely,

Ranald Dods

Planning Officer

Development Management

Planning Housing and Related Services

Scottish Borders Council

Tel: 01835 825 239

E-mail: ranald.dods@scotborders.gov.uk

From: Julie Harrison

Date: Fri, 20 Oct 2023, 13:28

Subject: Re: [OFFICIAL] 23/00140/LBC & 00225/FUL, Middle House, Kingsmuir Hall

To: Dods, Ranald < Ranald. Dods@scotborders.gov.uk >

Cc: < david@dhfarmer.co.uk >, Adrian McCarthy

Dear Mr Dods

Frankly this has gone on long enough and having now discovered quite by accident that I can appeal we will be going down that route - Planning permission appeals - mygov.scot. I am distraught that you now advise after 10 months of communication that the only route acceptable is to replace with Timber windows. You have continued to avoid answering that question since the beginning. We have continued to advise that we are dedicated to retaining the historical look of the windows, have compromised with no clarity on what will be accepted and remained courteous and responsive to your requests throughout. Yet here we are, consigned to yet another winter of high bills and therefore high impact on the climate.

Given the following (most of which has been previously shared), we find it hard to accept that replacing the existing timber sash and case windows, some of which are in a bad state of repair, with uPVC double glazed windows that match the historic character and style, is unacceptable to the SBC Planning Department:

- Middle House has obviously been much-changed over the years with three windows that are not the originals, and a back porch and doors that have been added at some point but are not aligned to the historic make-up of the existing building.
- The policy being referred to is guidance and the decision to allow uPVC windows that match the style is wholly up to SBC.
- The decision to reject the latest proposal does not align with Scottish Government ambitions towards net zero.
- A recent application for the same uPVC windows that match the current style for a first floor flat on Bonnington Road was accepted within SBC target timelines the property is visible to passersby, plus sit directly above the ground floor flat that still has timber sash and case. Also note that I gained a quote from exactly the same supplier that replaced the windows in that property, but to no avail. Having walked past that building many times, it has been much improved and is sympathetic to the character of the Victorian building and also sits within the Peebles Conservation Area, as does ours. The decision to accept that application (link below) is exactly the decision I'd expect given our location and proposal): 23_00111_FUL-OFFICERS_REPORT-3761219.pdf (scotborders.gov.uk)
- Our building sits between Kingsmuir Hall and The Cottage the Cottage has mainly uPVC windows that do not match the original style, which we are happy to do.
- Middle House sits on a hidden lane that is only accessible (and therefore visible) to our neighbours in the lane. The rear of the building is accessible only to us, is only partially visible to one neighbour and is not visible to passersby. I'm unclear who would have 'special interest' if no-one can see the rear of the building. I also do not understand how that 'special interest' manifests itself.
- I am unclear why the thickness of uPVC double glazed units which would improve thermal efficiency and be invisible to those who do not have access to the front of the building is so important. Given the point above, no-one would be able to determine the thickness of the unit from the front gate unless we allowed them access.
- Existing windows have ugly aluminium external secondary glazing, therefore replacement would enhance the current appearance. A decision to insist on timber sash and case would result in those having to remain. We did not expect to have the expense of replacement windows given the home report that stated there was no issues with the windows.

We will now appeal based on your response via the proper channels.

Julie Harrison

From: Scottish Borders Council <noreply@scotborders.gov.uk>

Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2023 2:20 PM

To: Planning & Regulatory Services cotborders.gov.uk>

Subject: Enquiry received -Peebles - PDM000804

CAUTION: External Email

Hi

Please see the below enquiry:

Name: Julie Harrison

Business:

Address: Middle House, Kingsmuir Hall, Bonnington Road, Peebles, EH45 9HE



Enquiry: Planning applications

Planning reference: 23/00225/ful & 23/00140/lbc

Enquiry details: Hi, can you please let me know how long I can expect to await a decision on our planning? Discussions have been ongoing since February and we are keen to appeal the expected rejection as soon as possible so that we can move forward. I've already emailed Ranald Dods (13/12/2023) and left a message for someone to call me back (27/11/2023). Thanks, Julie Harrison

Location: Peebles

Location description: Middle House

Google Maps URL: http://www.google.co.uk/maps/search/?api=1&guery=55.6453647,-3.1886204

Thank you

On Tue, 28 Nov 2023, 15:44 Dods, Ranald, <<u>Ranald.Dods@scotborders.gov.uk</u>> wrote:

Dear Miss Harrison,

Thank you for your email. I apologise that I did not respond directly to your last email. I have been concentraong on other casework and have had to set that aside just now.

It is disappoineng that we have not been able to reach a saesfactory solueon, given the eme the Heritage and Design Officer (HDO) and I have taken in looking at this proposal. I note that, as your inielal submission was not clear and lacked some detail, I asked on the 3rd of April for further informaeon by the 11th of that month and made a further request to you on the 25th of May. The informaeon was provided on the 20th of June.

Having discussed those submissions with the HDO, I wrote on the 21^{st} of July. In that email, I said "Whilst we are sympathe Θ c to your desire to reduce costs and the need to reduce carbon emissions, that has to be balanced with the need to safeguard the historic environment. As you will see from the HDO's latest response to your submission, we can accept, subject to condi Θ ons, the solar panels but the proposed windows and replacement door are items which would have a nega Θ ve effect on the character of the listed building". With that in mind and in order for us to support the applica Θ on rather than refuse it, I asked for revisions to be made. On the 2^{nd} of October you emailed me to tell me the informa Θ on had been uploaded to the portal. I advised the HDO on the 4^{th} that revised informa Θ on had been submi Σ ed and asked for her views on that.

The HDO responded on the 12^{th} of October, a \overline{O} er which I discussed ma Σ ers with her again in order to see if what was provided could be supported, mindful of the facts of the building and its lis Θ ng. I advised you on the 17^{th} of October that unfortunately we were s Θ II unable to lend support to your applica Θ on and invited you to submit revisions by the 31^{st} of October with the aim, if those details were acceptable, of the applica Θ ons being determined by the 14^{th} of November. I was disappointed that, rather than come forward with revisions which we could support, you in Θ mated on the 20^{th} of October that you intended to appeal.

To be clear, from the start we have accepted that double glazing may be acceptable in this property. In her first response, of which I informed you on the 3rd of April, the HDO said, amongst other things, "Replacement with timber windows to match the existing on a like for like basis would be supported, and can include double glazed units. There are no specific and justified circumstances that would suggest uPVC should be accepted in this case". That advice is in line with our SPG on replacement windows. Our position on that has not changed and I reiterate here that initial response.

Rather than draw things out further for you through the appeal process, I request again that you submit revisions which would allow us to support replacement windows in your listed building. Please make those submissions by the 8th of December and, if satisfactory, I will aim to determine the applications by the 15th of December. As always, if you need additional time to make those, please let me know.

Yours sincerely,

Ranald Dods

From: Julie Harrison

Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2023 3:48 Pivi

To: Dods, Ranald Ranald Ranald Ranald Ranald <a href="mailto:Ra

Cc: Adrian McCarthy

Subject: Re: [OFFICIAL] 23/00225/FUL & 23/00140/LBC

CAUTION: External Email

Dear Mr Dods, we would sell like to appeal your decision and the only way for us to do that is for you to reject our applicaeon. Therefore please do that as soon as possible and we'll appeal.

Many thanks

On Tue, 28 Nov 2023, 16:14 Dods, Ranald, < <u>Ranald.Dods@scotborders.gov.uk</u>> wrote:

Miss Harrison,

We have not made a decision yet and are offering you a chance to respond. Do I take it that you are unwilling to make revisions in order to comply with our SPG and the advice from the HDO which would allow us to support the applicaeons?

Ranald Dods

From: Julie Harrison

Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2023 4:20 PM

To: Dods, Ranald < R

Cc: Adrian McCarth

Subject: Re: [OFFICIAL] 23/00225/FUL & 23/00140/LBC

CAUTION: External Email

Dear Mr Dods, yes that's correct. You've effec\textit{\Theta} vely cut off our right to appeal by encouraging us to amend to fit the advice. We have tried to compromise already and have already revised our plans to do so. Now you've provided a clear 'no' to uPVC windows across the board and we now wish to appeal that decision. Un\textit{\Theta} you've done so our right to appeal is closed.

Regards, Julie Harrison

On Tue, 28 Nov 2023, 16:39 Dods, Ranald, <Ranald.Dods@scotborders.gov.uk> wrote:

Miss Harrison

I note your opinion although I disagree that, in asking for revisions in line with council guidance, your right of appeal has been denied. Nego@a@on to make a proposal acceptable is part of the applica@on process.

I will try to determine the applicaons in the coming weeks, accepong that I have other cases to determine as well.

Ranald Dods

From: Julie Harrison

Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2023, 16:45

Subject: Re: [OFFICIAL] 23/00225/FUL & 23/00140/LBC To: Dods, Ranald < Ranald.Dods@scotborders.gov.uk>

Cc: Adrian McCarthy

Dear Mr Dods, I'm sorry that's not clear? When will you file your decision so that we can move forward? We have already waited 2 weeks for your response to previous email. Given you in mated

2 weeks for us to provide revised plans I would expect you to be able to reject this week given your decision is already made.

Many thanks, Julie Harrison

From: Scot, sh Borders Council <noreply@scotborders.gov.uk>

Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2023 11:31 AM

To: Planning & Regulatory Services cotborders.gov.uk>

Subject: Enquiry received -Peebles - PDM000808

CAUTION: External Email

Hi

Please see the below enquiry:

Name: Julie Harrison

Business:

Address: Middle House, Kingsmuir Hall, Bonnington Road, Peebles, EH45 9HE

Email:

Telephone:

Enquiry: Planning applica@ons

Planning reference: 23/00225/ful & 23/00140/lbc

Enquiry details: We want to appeal against a planning decision but I'm unable to find out when this will be recorded a\(\tilde{O}\)er email communica\(\theta\)ons with Ranald Dods yesterday. Is there anyone I can speak to that can help? We want to appeal decision to not allow uPVC replacement double glazed windows in keeping with the style of current ones, which Mr Dods has advised are not acceptable. The sooner we resolve this the sooner we can move forward and also reduce our energy bills. Can someone help me to understand when this planning decision will be formally logged? The latest plans were logged with planning 2nd October. If you require any further informa\(\theta\)on please don't hesitate to call or email me. Many thanks, Julie Harrison

LocaOon: Peebles

LocaOon descripOon: Middle House

Google Maps URL: hΣ p://www.google.co.uk/maps/search/?api=1&query=55.6453647,-3.1886204

Thank you

From: Dods, Ranald < <u>Ranald.Dods@scotborders.gov.uk</u> >

Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2023, 14:02

Subject: [OFFICIAL] 23/00225/FUL & 23/00140/LBC

To: Julie Harrison

Miss Harrison,

I advised you yesterday a \bar{O} er you confirmed that you were unwilling to submit revisions which would enable us to support your applica Θ ons that I will determine them in the near future and I s Θ II intend to do that. It is unfortunately not possible to issue a decision instantly as I will need to write reports on the proposals. I have other cases which need to be determined before I can dedicate Θ me to wri Θ ng the reports for your applica Θ ons. Rest assured, once I have those done, I will turn my a Σ en Θ on to your applica Θ ons.

In the mean me, I thank you for your patence.

Ranald Dods



Julie Harrison Middle House, Kingsmuir Hall, Bonnington Road, Peebles, EH45 9HE Please ask for: Barry Fotheringham

Our Ref:

Your Ref: CPT001282

E-Mail:

Date: 5th December 2023

Dear Ms Harrison

I refer to complaint reference CPT001282 received on 29th November 2023 regarding **Planning Applications**.

I have considered your complaint, reviewed your applications, and discussed this matter with Mr Dods and am satisfied that the application has been handled in the correct manner. However, I can understand why you might be frustrated with the process, particularly as the on-going negotiations have prevented you from submitting an appeal against nondetermination for the listed building consent application. When a planning (or related) application is submitted to SBC for our consideration, we will always manage that application with a view to reaching a positive outcome. In other words, it is our aim to manage applications, and make amendments as necessary, to ensure that the application is approved. Where there is a reasonable prospect of an application being supported, albeit with some modifications or amendments, the appointed officer will always enter into negotiations with the applicant or their agent in order that we can support the application. I can see from the case file that Mr Dods has confirmed our policy position with regards to your application and has made several attempts to request amended plans that show replacement windows that are compliant with our policy and supporting guidance. Unfortunately amended plans that would allow us to support your application have not been forthcoming. Rather than refuse your applications, Mr Dods has (quite rightly in my opinion) made every effort to support your proposed development and avoid what could be a lengthy appeal process. As the amended drawings have not been submitted as requested by Mr Dods (that would allow us to support you application and issue a consent notice) Mr Dods has confirmed that he will proceed to determine your application this week. This will allow you to appeal our decision to refuse your application.

If you remain dissatisfied you can ask for your complaint to be considered further at the next stage of our complaints procedure. You do this by contacting our Customer Advice & Support Service. You can find the contact details at scotborders.gov.uk/contact.

If you raise your complaint to that next stage and remain unhappy after receiving our final decision, either with the decision or the way your complaint has been handled, you can ask the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman to consider your complaint. We will tell you how to do this when we send you our final decision.

I trust this information clarifies the position for you, however if you require further information or assistance please do not hesitate to contact me at the address shown below.

Further information regarding our complaints procedure can be found at scotborders.gov.uk/complaintsprocedure.

Yours sincerely Barry Fotheringham

Lead Planning Officer

From: Julie Harrisor

Sent: Tuesday, December 5, 2023 4:37 Pivi

To: Fotheringham, Barry < bfotheringham@scotborders.gov.uk>

Subject: Re: [OFFICIAL] Complaint CPT001282

CAUTION: External Email

Dear Mr Fotheringham

Thank you, I have now been able to access and read the response.

My only issue with the response is that we did indeed provide new plans as a compromise towards what is acceptable to SBC but to no avail. We're keen to appeal the decision as soon as possible, especially given the expense we're now incurring for our gas bills whilst we're s\text{\text{\text{oll}}} unable to proceed un\text{\text{ell}} resolu\text{\text{on}}. There are a variety of reasons why we're appealing which I've already outlined to Mr Dods.

Thank you for confirming we'll receive a decision now that will allow us to progress an appeal.

Many thanks

Julie Harrison

From: Fotheringham, Barry

bfotheringham@scotborders.gov.uk>

Date: Wed, 6 Dec 2023, 11:45

Subject: RE: [OFFICIAL] Complaint CPT001282

To: Julie Harrison

Dear Ms Harrison

Thank you for your e-mail. I note your comments regarding submission of addional informaon, however this fell short of our policies for replacement windows in Listed Buildings. I understand Mr

Dods offered you further opportunies to revise your plans but unfortunately we have not received amended drawings that will allow us to support your applicaeon.

I hope to be in posi\(\theta\)on to agree Mr Dods recommenda\(\theta\)on later on today and you should receive a decision by the end of this week.

Regards

Barry Fotheringham

Lead Planning Officer

Planning, Housing & Related Services

Scottish Borders Council

Tel: 01835 826745

E-mail: <u>bfotheringham@scotborders.gov.uk</u>

From: Planning & Regulatory Services rs@scotborders.gov.uk</rr>

Date: Fri, 8 Dec 2023, 12:23

Subject: [OFFICIAL] 23/00140/LBC & 23/00225/FUL - Middle House, Kingsmuir Hall, Bonnington

Road Peebles

To

Good AŌernoon

Please find the decision noθces for the above applicaθons aΣ ached.

Kind regards

Planning & Regulatory Services

Scot, sh Borders Council